

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 146 OF 2006

1. Bharatiya Janata Party & Anr.] .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The Chief of Regional Dispute]
Committee & 4 ors.] .. Respondents

Mr. Sanjeev Kanchan for the petitioners.

None for the respondents.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOO V. MOHTA, JJ.

DATED: 06TH MARCH, 2006

P.C. :

. When the matter is called out, counsel for the petitioners seeks adjournment. Considering the averments in the Petition this would not be a fit case where adjournment should be granted. Hence request rejected.

2. The entire case of the petitioners is that they are eligible for being allotted alternative premises and that they have applied to respondent No.1 for alternative accommodation. A copy of the letter was

also given to the Secretary, State of Maharashtra and Collector of Mumbai Suburban District which was received on 4th May, 2005. Till date, no action has been taken. If that be the case, respondents No.1, 2 and 3 whoever is the competent authority amongst them to decide the grievance made by the petitioner and dispose it according to law and at any rate, not later than 8 weeks from the date of copy of this order being served on the competent respondent.

3. With the above observation, Petition disposed of.

Sd/-
[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

Sd/-
[ANOOB V. MOHTA, J.]